



# **Economic and environmental effects in the presence of resource scarcity and substitution between renewable and nonrenewable resources**

**Susana Silva , Isabel Soares, Óscar Afonso**  
**Faculdade de Economia do Porto**

A Toxa, 25 June 2012

# Presentation structure

- Motivation
- The model
- Theoretical results
- Empirical simulation
- Sensitivity analysis
- Conclusions



# Motivation

- Compatibility between economic growth and a cleaner environment
- Need for Government intervention?
- General equilibrium model:
  - resources substitution;
  - resource exhaustion;
  - pollution.

# The model

## Sectors

Final-goods sector	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Homogeneous</li><li>• Firms use (polluting) non-renewable resources and/or (non-polluting) renewable resources to produce</li></ul>
Resources sectors: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Renewable Resources (RR)</li><li>• Non-Renewable Resources (NRR)</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Monopolistic firm</li><li>• Scarcity and pollution - NRR</li><li>• Base Case: extraction costs constant</li><li>• Endogenous Technical Change Case (ETC Case): the firm invest in knowledge to reduce extraction costs</li></ul>
Government	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• One policy instrument: tax on emissions</li></ul>



# Theoretical Results

## Base Case

- Resource consumption increases with output and decreases with price (and the tax level for NRR)
- Resource prices increase with costs (and the tax for NRR)
- Resource consumption grows at the same rate as output
- Permanent trade-off between economic growth and a cleaner environment

## ETC Case

- Costs decrease as knowledge accumulates
- Resource consumption increases with output and with knowledge (but decreases with the tax level for the NRR)
- Resources prices decrease as knowledge accumulates (and increase with the tax level for NRR)
- Resource consumption does not necessarily grow at the same rate as output
- Possible compatibility between economic growth and a cleaner environment – need for Government intervention

# Empirical Simulation

## Data

- USA
- Electricity sector
- 2009

## Scenarios

- Based on different RR shares and different tax levels

## Results

- Higher RR share and lower tax on emissions decrease steady-state output
- Variable levels (output, resource consumption and knowledge stocks) very sensitive to changes in the RR share and tax level; emission and output growth rates stable
- The USA electricity structure remains dominated by fossil fuels (output and non-renewable resources consumption grow at very close rates)

# Sensitivity analysis

## Base Case

$$\partial(RR/NRR)/\partial\tau > 0$$

$$\partial g \downarrow Y / \partial \tau > 0$$

$$\partial g \downarrow E / \partial \tau > 0$$

$$\partial(RR/NRR)/\partial\varepsilon < 0$$

$$\partial g \downarrow Y / \partial \varepsilon < 0$$

$$\partial g \downarrow E / \partial \varepsilon < 0$$

## Endogenous Tecnical Change Case

$$\partial(RR/NRR)/\partial\tau > 0$$

$$\partial g \downarrow Y / \partial \tau > 0$$

$$\partial g \downarrow E / \partial \tau > 0$$

$$\partial(RR/NRR)/\partial\varepsilon < 0$$

$$\partial g \downarrow Y / \partial \varepsilon < 0$$

$$\partial g \downarrow E / \partial \varepsilon < 0$$
  
if  $1.9 < \varepsilon < 3.3$



# Conclusions

- Importance of Endogenous Technical Change for the compatibility between economic growth and a cleaner environment (R&D)
- Importance of substitution of NRR for RR
- Importance of Government intervention
- Empirical dominance of NRR
- Further research

Thank you for your  
attention!

