

On pollution and R&D-based growth in a trade model between countries concerned and unconcerned about climate change

Francisco Cabo¹ Guiomar Martín-Herrán¹
M.Pilar Martínez-García²

¹IMUVa, Dpt. Economía Aplicada (Matemáticas)
Universidad de Valladolid

²Dpt. Métodos Cuantitativos para la Economía
Universidad de Murcia

5-th Atlantic Workshop on Energy and Environmental Economics, 25-26 June 2012

- Full cooperation difficult in a global environmental problem (Barret 2003 and Finus 2001).
- Kyoto protocol: Annex I vs. Non-annex I countries. Countries **concerned** and countries who **disregard** global warming
- Emissions from non-renewable **fossil fuels** → **Carbon leakage**

Research question

Conditions to reverse/alleviate the carbon leakage hypothesis

- Emissions from final output production, using a renewable resource, **forest products (timber)**, as an input
- **Forest**: provides a productive input + **carbon sink**

Bilateral trade model

- Small group of technological leading countries (Coe *et al.* (1997)) → Annex I - **concerned** countries
- Developing countries are not investing in R&D. They are well endowed with natural resources **timber** → Non-annex I - **unconcerned** countries

Pattern of trade:

Non-abating developing countries export **timber** in exchange for technology intensive goods from abating technologically leading countries

Research question

Sustainability of the economic growth in both regions

Outline

- 1 Introduction ✓
- 2 The model
- 3 The business as usual (BAU) scenario. Countries disregard global warming
- 4 Commitment by technologically leading countries to acknowledge global warming
- 5 Comparison of emissions, concentration of pollutants and economic growth

Technologically leading region

Technological progress: expansion in the number of varieties of intermediate inputs Grossman & Helpman (1997), Barro & Sala-i-Martin (2004)

- **Output producers:** Produce final output using a growing number of intermediate inputs, labor and timber. **Perfectly competitive markets.** Production dependent on the environmental quality.
- **Innovators:** Create new intermediate inputs and produce one **monopolistically.** **Static** maximization of profits from sales in the leading and the forest regions.
- **Consumers:** Decide between consumption and assets accumulation. **Dynamic** maximization of utility from consumption and environmental quality.

Technologically leading region

Technological progress: expansion in the number of varieties of intermediate inputs Grossman & Helpman (1997), Barro & Sala-i-Martin (2004)

- **Output producers:**
$$Y_L = AZ^{-\phi} L_L^{1-\alpha-\beta} \sum_{j=1}^N X_{Lj}^{\alpha} H_L^{\beta},$$

Z concentration of pollutants, L_L labor, N number of intermediate inputs, X_{Lj} intermediate input j , H_L timber.

- **Innovators:** Create new intermediate inputs and produce one monopolistically. Static maximization of profits from sales in the leading and the forest regions.
- **Consumers:** Decide between consumption and assets accumulation. Dynamic maximization of utility from consumption and environmental quality.

Technologically leading region

Technological progress: expansion in the number of varieties of intermediate inputs Grossman & Helpman (1997), Barro & Sala-i-Martin (2004)

- Output producers:**
$$Y_L = AZ^{-\phi} L_L^{1-\alpha-\beta} \sum_{j=1}^N X_{Lj}^{\alpha} H_L^{\beta},$$

Z concentration of pollutants, L_L labor, N number of intermediate inputs, X_{Lj} intermediate input j , H_L timber.
- Innovators:**
$$\pi_j = (p_j - 1) (X_{Lj} + X_{Fj}),$$

p_j price of the intermediate input j
- Consumers:** Decide between consumption and assets accumulation. **Dynamic** maximization of utility from consumption and environmental quality.

Technologically leading region

Technological progress: expansion in the number of varieties of intermediate inputs Grossman & Helpman (1997), Barro & Sala-i-Martin (2004)

- **Output producers:** $Y_L = AZ^{-\phi} L_L^{1-\alpha-\beta} \sum_{j=1}^N X_{Lj}^{\alpha} H_L^{\beta}$,

Z concentration of pollutants, L_L labor, N number of intermediate inputs, X_{Lj} intermediate input j , H_L timber.

- **Innovators:** $\pi_j = (p_j - 1) (X_{Lj} + X_{Fj})$,
- p_j price of the intermediate input j

- **Consumers:**

$$\max_{c_L} \int_0^{\infty} \left[\frac{c_L^{1-\varepsilon}}{1-\varepsilon} - \theta \frac{Z^{1+\mu}}{1+\mu} \right] e^{-\rho t} dt, \quad \rho, \varepsilon, \mu, \theta > 0,$$

$$\text{s.t.: } \dot{a}_L = r a_L + w_L - c_L, \quad a_L(0) = a_{L0}.$$

c_L consumption, a_L assets, w_L wages, r rate of return.

Forest region

- **Output producers:** Produce final output using a growing number of intermediate inputs, labor and timber. **Perfectly competitive markets.** Production dependent on the environmental quality.
- **Consumers/harvesters:** Do not accumulate assets. **Own the forest** in equal shares. Decide the **share of labor to harvest and to produce final output.** Maximize utility from consumption and environmental quality.

Forest region

- **Output producers:**
$$Y_F = \tilde{A}Z^{-\phi}(vL_F)^{1-\alpha-\beta} \sum_{j=1}^N X_{Fj}^{\alpha} H_F^{\beta},$$

v share of labor in the final output sector, Z concentration of pollutants, L_F labor, X_{Fj} intermediate input j , H_F timber.

- **Consumers/harvesters:** Do not accumulate assets. Own the forest in equal shares. Decide the share of labor to harvest and to produce final output. Maximize utility from consumption and environmental quality.

Forest region

- Output producers:** $Y_F = \tilde{A}Z^{-\phi}(vL_F)^{1-\alpha-\beta} \sum_{j=1}^N X_{Fj}^{\alpha} H_F^{\beta}$,
 v share of labor in the final output sector, Z concentration of pollutants,
 L_F labor, X_{Fj} intermediate input j , H_F timber.

- Consumers/harvesters:**

$$\max_v \left\{ \frac{c_F^{1-\tilde{\varepsilon}}}{1-\tilde{\varepsilon}} - \tilde{\theta} \frac{Z^{1+\tilde{\mu}}}{1+\tilde{\mu}} \right\},$$

$$\text{s.t.: } c_F = v w_F + p_h h,$$

$$h = b(1-v)^{1-\varphi}, \quad b > 0, \varphi \in (0, 1).$$

c_F consumption, w_F wages, p_h price of timber.

Forest and the accumulation of pollutants

Forest: Dynamics of the forest in aggregate terms:

$$\dot{S} = G(S) - H = gS(1 - S/C) - L_F b(1 - v)^{1-\varphi}, \quad S(0) = S_0$$

$G(S)$ logistic gross reproduction rate, $H = L_F b$ harvestings.

Concentration of pollutants in the atmosphere

$$\dot{Z} = E_L + E_F - \delta(S, Z) = E_L + E_F - \delta_1 Z - \delta_2 S, \quad Z(0) = Z_0, \delta_1, \delta_2 > 0.$$

E_L, E_F emissions from producers in L and F .

Assumption: $\frac{E_i}{Y_i} = g_i(N)$, $g'_i(N) < 0$, $i \in \{L, F\}$.

Emissions if $g_i(N)$ is a hyperbolic function:

$$\frac{E_L}{Y_L} = \frac{\tau}{N} \Rightarrow E_L = \tau \frac{Y_L}{N}, \quad \frac{E_F}{Y_F} = \frac{\tilde{\tau}}{N} \Rightarrow E_F = \tilde{\tau} \frac{Y_L}{N}.$$

Forest and the accumulation of pollutants

Forest: Dynamics of the forest in aggregate terms:

$$\dot{S} = G(S) - H = gS(1 - S/C) - L_F b(1 - v)^{1-\varphi}, \quad S(0) = S_0$$

$G(S)$ logistic gross reproduction rate, $H = L_F b$ harvestings.

Concentration of pollutants in the atmosphere

$$\dot{Z} = E_L + E_F - \delta(S, Z) = E_L + E_F - \delta_1 Z - \delta_2 S, \quad Z(0) = Z_0, \delta_1, \delta_2 > 0.$$

E_L, E_F emissions from producers in L and F .

Assumption: $\frac{E_i}{Y_i} = g_i(N)$, $g'_i(N) < 0$, $i \in \{L, F\}$.

Emissions if $g_i(N)$ is a hyperbolic function:

$$\frac{E_L}{Y_L} = \frac{\tau}{N} \Rightarrow E_L = \tau \frac{Y_L}{N}, \quad \frac{E_F}{Y_F} = \frac{\tilde{\tau}}{N} \Rightarrow E_F = \tilde{\tau} \frac{Y_L}{N}.$$

BAU vs Commitment to acknowledge global warming

BAU: Countries disregard global warming.

Commitment: agreement in technologically leading countries to:

- ① consider global warming in their decision making process,
- ② settle the price of intermediate inputs in a centralized manner.

Equivalent to a **central planner** in this region, who maximizes:

$$\max_{c_L, H_L, X_L, p_j} \int_0^{\infty} \left(\frac{c_L^{1-\varepsilon}}{1-\varepsilon} - \theta \frac{Z^{1+\mu}}{1+\mu} \right) e^{-\rho t} dt,$$

$$\dot{N} = \frac{1}{\eta + \nu} [Y_L + N(p_j - 1)X_F(p_j) - NX_L - p_h H_L - c_L L_L], \quad N(0) = N_0 > 0$$

$$\dot{Z} = \tau \frac{Y_L}{N} + \tilde{\tau} \frac{Y_F}{N} - \delta_1 Z - \delta_2 S, \quad Z(0) = Z_0 > 0,$$

$$\dot{S} = gS \left(1 - \frac{S}{C} \right) - (H_L + H_F), \quad S(0) = S_0 > 0,$$

Emissions: BAU vs Commitment

- Emissions under BAU

$$E_L = \left(\tau \Lambda L_L \bar{H}^{\frac{\beta}{1-\alpha}} \right) Z^{-\frac{\phi}{1-\alpha}} = \bar{E}_L Z^{-\frac{\phi}{1-\alpha}},$$

$$E_F = \left(\frac{\tilde{\tau} \beta}{\alpha \bar{p}_F} \Lambda L_L \bar{H}^{\frac{\beta}{1-\alpha}} \right) Z^{-\frac{\phi}{1-\alpha}} = \bar{E}_F Z^{-\frac{\phi}{1-\alpha}}.$$

$\Lambda = \text{CST}$ (parameters), \bar{p}_F the terms of trade

- Emissions under Commitment

$$E_L = \left(\frac{1 + \tau \Psi}{\alpha} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}} Z^{-\frac{\phi}{1-\alpha}} = \bar{E}_L^C Z^{-\frac{\phi}{1-\alpha}},$$

$$E_F = \left(\frac{\alpha p_F + \tilde{\tau} \Psi}{\alpha \bar{p}_F} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}} Z^{-\frac{\phi}{1-\alpha}} = \bar{E}_F^C Z^{-\frac{\phi}{1-\alpha}}.$$

$\Psi = \lambda_Z \tilde{c}_L L_L$, $\tilde{c}_L = C_L/N$, λ_Z shadow price of Z , p_F the terms of trade

Steady-State equilibrium

Steady-State equilibrium

- $v, X_L, X_F, E_L, E_F, Z, H_L, H_F$ remain constant
- $C_L, C_F, N, Y_L, Y_F, w_L, w_F, p_h$ grow at the same constant rate

Existence and uniqueness

Under the assumption $gC > 4\bar{H}$ a saddle-path stable Steady-State equilibrium exists and is unique.

Growth rate of consumption

$$\gamma^* = \frac{(1-\alpha)(\alpha+\beta)}{\varepsilon(\eta+\nu)} \frac{\bar{E}_L}{\tau} (Z^*)^{\frac{-\phi}{1-\alpha}} - \frac{\rho}{\varepsilon}$$

$$(\gamma^C)^* = \frac{B^C}{(\eta+\nu)} \frac{\bar{E}_L^C}{\tau} ((Z^C)^*)^{\frac{-\phi}{1-\alpha}} - \rho$$

with $Z^*, (Z^C)^*$ implicitly given.

Comparison of emissions

Emissions under the two scenarios:

$$E_L = \bar{E}_L Z^{-\frac{\phi}{1-\alpha}}, \quad E_F = \bar{E}_F Z^{-\frac{\phi}{1-\alpha}}, \quad E = \bar{E} Z^{-\frac{\phi}{1-\alpha}},$$

$$E_L^C = \bar{E}_L^C Z^{-\frac{\phi}{1-\alpha}}, \quad E_F^C = \bar{E}_F^C Z^{-\frac{\phi}{1-\alpha}}, \quad E^C = \bar{E}^C Z^{-\frac{\phi}{1-\alpha}}.$$

Autonomous variation in emissions (no change in Z)

$$\frac{\bar{E}_L^C - \bar{E}_L}{\bar{E}_L} > \frac{\bar{E}_F^C - \bar{E}_F}{\bar{E}_F}$$

Two possibilities for global emissions reductions:

Condition	Leader	Forest	World Economy
$\alpha > 1 + \tau\Psi$	$\bar{E}_L^C < \bar{E}_L$	$\bar{E}_F^C \ll \bar{E}_F$	$\bar{E}^C < \bar{E}$
$\alpha < 1 + \tau\Psi < \alpha^\alpha$	$\bar{E}_L^C > \bar{E}_L$	$\bar{E}_F^C < \bar{E}_F$	$\bar{E}^C < \bar{E}$

Comparison of emissions

Price of intermediate inputs charged to forest region producers

$$p_j^C > p_j$$

Assumption: $\bar{E}_L^C + \bar{E}_F^C \equiv \bar{E}^C < \bar{E} \equiv \bar{E}_L + \bar{E}_F$

At the **steady state** it follows:

- 1 The concentration of pollutants decreases

$$(Z^C)^* < Z^*$$

- 2 Global emissions decrease

$$(E^C)^* \equiv \bar{E}^C ((Z^C)^*)^{\frac{-\phi}{1-\alpha}} < \bar{E} (Z^*)^{\frac{-\phi}{1-\alpha}} \equiv E^*$$

Comparison of growth rates

Growth rates under BAU and Commitment:

$$\gamma^* = \frac{B}{(\eta+\nu)\tau} \bar{E}_L^* (Z^*)^{\frac{-\phi}{1-\alpha}} - \rho, \quad (\gamma^C)^* = \frac{B^C}{(\eta+\nu)\tau} (\bar{E}_L^C)^* ((Z^C)^*)^{\frac{-\phi}{1-\alpha}} - \rho.$$

with $B < B^C$.

Commitment does not necessarily reduces growth

$$\bar{E}_L^C < \bar{E}_L \Rightarrow (Z^C)^* < Z^*$$

$$\Downarrow \left(B^C > B, ((Z^C)^*)^{\frac{-\phi}{1-\alpha}} > (Z^*)^{\frac{-\phi}{1-\alpha}} \right)$$

$$(\gamma^C)^* < \gamma^*$$

Numerical Results

Parameters values:

$$\alpha = 0.5, \beta = 0.2, \delta = 0.5, \rho = \tilde{\rho} = 0.01, \eta = 0.2, \nu = 0.1, \tau = \tilde{\tau} = 0.3, \\ \delta_1 = \delta_2 = 0.1, g = 4, A = \tilde{A} = b = L_L = L_F = \theta = \mu = C = 1.$$

	$\widehat{\frac{p_j}{p_F}}$	\widehat{E}_L^*	\widehat{E}_F^*	\widehat{E}^*	\widehat{Z}^*	\widehat{E}_L^*	\widehat{E}_F^*	\widehat{E}^*	$\widehat{\gamma}^*$
$\phi = 0.5$ $\alpha > 1 + \tau\Psi$	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	+
$\phi = 0.3$ $\alpha < 1 + \tau\Psi < \alpha^\alpha$	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	+

$$\widehat{X} = \frac{X^C - X}{X}$$

Conclusions

- Sustainable growth is feasible and saddle-path stable in a bilateral trade model. Technology developed in one region is traded in exchange for timber harvested in its counterpart.
- If technologically leading countries commit to acknowledge global warming and agree on a coordinated price for traded technology:
 - Willingness to reduce emissions transferred from countries concerned to countries who disregard global warming. Mechanism: a higher price of the intermediate inputs.
 - Forest countries reduce emissions stronger than the technologically leading countries.
 - Concentration of pollutants decreases and still emissions are globally reduced.
 - Growth does not necessarily shrink. Numerically it grows.