Environmental fiscal incentives: Effectiveness or free-riding effect? An econometric evaluation of the French energy tax credit

Anna Risch



Introduction	Data	Method	Results	Conclusion
0000	000	0	00	0

Introduction: context

- Energy consumption and GHG emissions: key concerns in France
- \rightarrow <u>Grenelle Act</u>:
 - Divide by 4 GHG emissions by 2050 compared to 1980
 - Decrease energy consumption in the building sector by 38% by 2020 compared to 2008
 - Encourage the development of renewable energies

- <u>Residential sector</u>:
 - 1/3 of energy consumption, 16% of GHG emissions (Ministère du développement durable, 2008)
 - Represents a significant potential in energy saving

Introduction	Data	Method	Results	Conclusion
0000	000	0	00	0

Introduction: context

- The challenge is to induce households to invest in energy efficiency renovations
 - \rightarrow Tax credit
 - Introduction in 2005
 - For all households who realize energy-saving renovations (but only renovations performed by qualified building professionals)
- From 2005 to 2008: 4.2 million households received a tax credit
 Public cost: 7.8 billion euros
 Effectiveness ?

Introduction	Data	Method	Results	Conclusion
0000	000	0	00	0

Introduction: literature

- <u>Similar tax credits</u> :
 - Significant impact of the measure on the probability to renovate (Hasset and Metcalf, 1995; Alberini et al., 2011)
 - Free-riding (Dubin and Henson, 1988; Grösche and Vance, 2009; Malm, 1996)
 - → <u>Free-riders</u>: households who would have made energy efficiency investments even in the absence of public policy

- French tax credit:
 - Simulation model: impact of the measure at an aggregated level (Giraudet et al., 2011; MEDDTL et al., 2011; Charlier and Risch, 2012)
 - Mauroux (2012): fiscal data / effect of the tax credit increase for some renovations

Introduction	Data	Method	Results	Conclusion
0000	000	0	00	0

Introduction: objectives

Our objectives:

- 1. Estimating the impact of the introduction of the tax credit on the renovation rate (i.e., extensive effect).
- 2. Assessing the extent to which the tax credit increases renovation expenditures (i.e., the intensive effect).

0000	Data ●oo	o	oo	o
Data				

ADEME-SOFRES Maîtrise de l'Energie surveys – from 2001 to 2008
 → 41 057 households

- Information available on:
 - The realization or not of renovation and the type of renovation undertaken
 - Households characteristics
 - Housing characteristics
 - Energy used and energy bill

Introduction	Data	Method	Results	Conclusion
0000	000	0	00	0

Data: renovation rate and expenditures



Renovation rates - only for renovation eligible to the tax credit (% - left axis)

- Average expenses for renovations (\in - right axis)

Introduction	Data	Method	Results	Conclusion
0000	000	0	00	0

Data: free-ridership?



- The tax credit had no influence on HH behavior
- The tax credit encouraged HH to ask to building professionnal to realize a renovation that he had initially planned to make himself
- The tax credit encouraged HH to immediately realize a renovation that he had planned to make later
- The tax credit encouraged HH to realize a renovation that he had not considered
- More than 50% of households receiving the tax credit would have performed the renovation without this subsidy.

Introduction	Data	Method	Results	Conclusion
0000	000	•	00	0

Method: public policy evaluation

- Tax credit impact = renovations with the policy renovations without the policy
 Inobservable: counterfactual
- Matching method (Rosenbaum and Rubin, 1983; Heckman, Ichimura and Todd, 1998)

	Households ineligible for the tax	Households eligible for the tax	
	credit (19 089 households)	credit (21 969 households)	
200	1 2	005	2008
	Tax	credit	

- Control and treated groups are observed in a different time period
 - \rightarrow Sensitivity analysis

Introduction	Data	Method	Results	Conclusion
0000	000	0	00	0

Results: impact on renovation rate

• Effect of tax credit on renovation rate

	Renovation rate
Effect of the tax credit	0.0086
Standard errror	(0.0031)***

- 900 000 dwellings renovated between 2005-2008 as a result of the introduction of the tax credit.
 - Comparison with the public cost (€7.8 billion) :
 - → Public cost per housing = $\in 8,658$ > average expenditures = $\notin 5,054$
 - Comparison with the number of households who received the tax credit (4.2 million) :
 - → Free riding: 79% of households who received a tax credit would have performed the renovation without the subsidy.

Introduction	Data	Method	Results	Conclusion
0000	000	0	00	0

Results: impact on renovation expenditures

• Effect of tax credit on renovation expenditures

	Renovation expenditures				
	Current prices	Constant prices			
Effect of the tax credit Standard error	0.2465 (0.0463)***	0.0890 (0.0512)***			

• Increase of the renovation expenditures as a result of the tax credit, but the 2/3 of this rise is due to an increase of the prices.

Introduction 0000	Data 000	Method o	Results oo	Conclusion
Conclusio	on			

Efficiency of tax credit is questionable:

- Significant and positive effect on renovation rate and renovation expenditures
- But the effect is low, particularly given the public cost of the measure (7.8 billion €)

→ Presence of free-riding

→ Building professionals capture a part of earnings from the tax credit through price increases.

Sensitivity analysis

	Fraction <i>u</i> =1 by treatment/outcome		Outcome Select effect eff	Selection effect	lection Tax effect credit	SE		
	P_{11}	P_{10}	P_{01}	$P_{\theta\theta}$			impact	
Renovation rate								
No confounder	0	0	0	0	-	-	0.0086	0.0005*
Neutral confounder	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.999	0.998	0.0086	0.00002
Confounder like:								
Owner	0.93	0.70	0.89	0.70	3.472	1.006	0.0084	0.00027
Energy expenditure (>50%)	0.48	0.48	0.38	0.37	1.079	1.558	0.0079	0.0001
Income (groups 5 and 6)	0.66	0.57	0.53	0.47	1.274	1.486	0.0070	0.0003*
Espaces Info-Energie	0.25	0.18	0.16	0.13	1.308	1.526	0.0075	0.0003
Energy-saving bulbs	0.75	0.68	0.57	0.49	1.273	2.175	0.0056	0.0016
Renovation expenditures								
At current prices								
No confounder	0	0	0	0	-	-	0.246	0.047*
Neutral confounder	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.002	1.004	0.246	0.002*
Confounder like:								
Owner	0.98	0.97	0.97	0.95	2.113	1.796	0.243	0.004*
Energy expenditure (>50%)	0.49	0.48	0.38	0.36	1.138	1.642	0.241	0.008*
Income (groups 5 and 6)	0.72	0.64	0.58	0.54	1.178	1.761	0.240	0.009*
Espaces Info-Energie	0.26	0.24	0.15	0.19	0.746	1.640	0.253	0.007*
Energy-saving bulbs	0.74	0.74	0.54	0.57	0.892	2.317	0.254	0.013*
At constant prices								
No confounder	0	0	0	0	-	-	0.089	0.041
Neutral confounder	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.002	0.992	0.089	0.002*
Confounder like:								
Owner	0.98	0.97	0.97	0.95	2.449	1.788	0.085	0.004*
Energy expenditure (>50%)	0.49	0.48	0.37	0.37	1.046	1.649	0.088	0.008*
Income (groups 5 and 6)	0.72	0.64	0.57	0.55	1.117	1.755	0.085	0.009*
Espaces Info-Energie	0.26	0.23	0.15	0.19	0.781	1.626	0.095	0.007*
Energy-saving bulbs	0.73	0.75	0.53	0.58	0.844	2.304	0.100	0.012*

Sensitivity analysis

• Matching method, estimations with 2004 – 2005 data

	Estimatic 2001-20	ons with 08 data	Estimations with 2004-2005 data		
	Marginal effect	SE	Marginal effect	SE	
Renovation rate	0.0086	0.0031***	0.0094	0.0057*	
Renovation expenditures - Current prices -	0.2465	0.0463***	0.2084	0.0962**	
Renovation expenditures - Constant prices -	0.0890	0.0512***	0.1657	0,0996*	

Cost benefit analysis

- Number of renovations as a result of the tax credit: 900,000
- Renovation expenditures: + 24.65% or €965
 - → Total expenditure in energy-saving renovations resulting from the tax credit: 8.69 billion euros.
- The cost: **7.8 billion** euros
 - → The net benefit: 890,000 or less than \in 1 per dwelling